

Cancer Pain Education for Patients and the Public
Module VII
Professional Education as a Prerequisite to Patient Education:
Involving Colleagues in Pain Education

Jo Ann Dalton, PhD, RN, FAAN
Faculty Member

I. Professional Education:

A. How much knowledge/skill do we need?

1. To educate, and to change practice?
 - a. A definition of pain.
 - b. An overview of the physiology of pain vs. an in-depth understanding of cell transport and pain pathways (Good, 1999).
 1. Primary hyperalgesia = increased peripheral sensation.
 2. Secondary hyperalgesia = central sensation.
 3. Third messengers = recruited to permit genetic encoding of increased responsiveness of dorsal horn neurons.
 4. Risk factors for persistent pain.
 5. Suppressing the stress response.
 - c. Classifications of pain vs. types of pain.
 1. Acute, chronic.
 2. Muscular, neuritic, central, autonomic.
 - d. Details of a "good" assessment.
 1. PQRST.
 2. Detailed pain history.
 3. Physical assessment.
 4. Family history.
 5. Gender sensitivity.
 6. Beliefs.
 7. Reassessment.
 - e. Goal setting.
 - f. An overview of pharmacotherapy vs. detailed study of action, interactions and side-effects.
 1. Schedule, route, equianalgesia
 2. By age group.
 3. Ceiling effect, tolerance, dependence.
 - g. Non-pharmacologic strategies.
 1. Radiation therapy.
 2. Alternative/complementary therapies.
 3. Cognitive-behavioral strategies.
 4. Heat and cold.
 5. Nerve blocks.
 6. Surgery.

- h. Application: case studies.
- i. Patient-caregiver communication.
- 2. Does everyone need to be a pain management expert?
 - a. Nurses (MSN, RN, ADN, LPN, UAP, PhD).
 - b. Physicians, pharmacists, social workers, physical therapists, clergy.
 - c. How many hours of education and practice?
- 3. Is knowledge enough?
 - a. Mitchell Max (1992): Is education enough?
 - 1. Must change attitudes of professionals, patients & the public.
 - 2. Must oppose "established patterns of practice and tradition."
 - b. Or, how do we measure change?
 - 1. Knowledge tests.
 - 2. Evidence of application in practice.
 - a. APS quality assurance standards (APS, 1995).
 - b. Pain Audit Tool (Ferrell et al., 1995).
 - c. PRPMAT (Wallace et al., 1999).
- B. We know we can increase knowledge, do we need to focus on how to change attitudes?
 - 1. How do we change attitudes?
 - a. Case-based, practice enabling discussions (Elliott, et al., 1997).
 - b. Behavioristic learning.
 - 1. Repetitive learning (stimulus and response).
 - 2. Perpetuation of established practice.
 - c. Cognitive learning.
 - 1. Extending previous, well-organized knowledge.
 - 2. Using categorization to reduce complexity.
 - d. Problem-solving.
 - 1. Focuses on variability and reduction in differences.
 - 2. Evaluating personal sensitivity to pain problems.
 - 3. Using the "teachable moment."
 - a. Content is relevant.
 - b. Emphasizing doing as well as knowing.
 - c. Using repetition and opportunity for practice.
 - d. Receiving positive reinforcement for behavior.
 - e. Promote self-efficacy.
 - 1. Derived from previous performance or observing others.
 - 2. Decrease anxiety associated with evaluation.
 - 3. Decrease risk of failure or disappointment.
 - a. Decrease self-protective behaviors.
 - b. Promote well-developed, complex cognitive structures.
 - 4. Evaluate simplistic vs. complex approaches.
 - 5. Reestablish self-relevant beliefs.
 - f. Promote reasoned action.
 - 1. If no significant barriers, e.g.
 - a. No time or opportunity.
 - b. Without requisite information, skills, or abilities.

2. Consider implications of actions.
3. Consider intentions resulting from
 - a. Attitude toward a behavior.
 - b. Environmental pressures.
- g. Processing persuasive messages.
 1. Need prior knowledge of subject matter.
 2. Need multiple resources.
 3. Consider true merit of information.
 4. Simple-cue induced changes.
2. Will legislation change attitudes and behaviors?
 - a. Hyde-Nickles Bill - Pain Relief Promotion Act.
 - b. Hooley Bill - Conquering Pain Act of 1999.
 - c. JCAHO Standards
- C. Will changing attitudes change behavior?
 1. Comparing ethics (to act in accordance with values) to values.
 - a. Values are professional, personal and organizational.
 - b. Value indicators:
 1. Goals.
 2. Attitudes, feelings, conviction and beliefs.
 3. Enhanced sense of empowerment.
 2. Evaluate communication in relation to behavior change.
 3. Increase interdisciplinary collaboration.
 4. How long should it take?
- D. Role of educational institutions, practice agencies, regulatory agencies.
 1. Curriculum Committee.
 - a. Text.
 - b. Faculty web pages.
 2. CQI teams.
 - a. Group consensus building.
 - b. Decision-making techniques.
 - c. Rewards for behavior change.
 3. JCAHO.
 4. Board of Nursing/Board of Medical Examiners.
 5. NLN.
 6. AACN.

II. How to Involve Colleagues in Pain Education (Strategies)

- A. Train the trainers/teachers (individuals, pairs, teams, communities).
 1. 40-hour (8 hours x 5 days) course (Ferrell et al., 1993).
 - a. Patient Resource Nurse (PRN) Training Program.
 - b. Nurses in inpatient units and outpatient/ambulatory clinics, operating room and recovery, home health.
 - c. Increased teaching to co-workers and patients.
 2. 1-day Role Model program for nurses, physicians and pharmacists (Janjan, et al., 1996).

- a. Change in knowledge and attitudes.
- b. Motivated to share principles with other health care professionals.
- 3. 1-day/week x 5 weeks program (Dalton, et al., 1996).
 - a. 3 cohorts of 5 pairs.
 - b. Outcomes.
 - 1. Change in knowledge and behavior.
 - 2. Traveling to education site may have taken time away from implementation.
 - 3. Documentation was problematic.
 - 4. Produced increase in feelings of credibility and consultative role.
- 4. 3-hour x 8 weeks program for ward teams (Francke et al., 1997).
 - a. Increased quality but not quantity of activities.
 - b. Need to combine education with implementation of assessment policy.
 - c. Need more physician interest.
- 5. 3-day role model program (Ferrell, et. al., 1994).
 - a. RN and MD pairs
 - b. Resource development and formulation of institutional policies
- 6. 2-day PRN educational program (Ferrell et. al, 1993).
 - a. Problematic areas predominantly issues of pharmacology.
 - b. Over time, pharmacology remained problematic.
- 7. 2-day minifellowship (Elliott et. al., 1997).
 - a. Community opinion leader clinicians.
 - b. Physicians' and nurses' knowledge and attitudes.
 - c. Effectiveness may have been related to the local clinician culture, organizational structure, care setting.
 - d. Needed more intense intervention (3-5 years).
 - e. Suggest CQI; feedback on performance, improvement in interactions.
- B. Educational strategies.
 - 1. Academic detailing.
 - 2. Study groups.
 - 3. Socialization programs.
 - 4. Clinical rounds.
 - 5. Case studies.
 - 6. Practicum.
 - 7. Reminder system.
 - 8. Opinion leaders.
 - 9. Educational influentials.
 - 10. Standardized patient assessment.
- C. Suggestions (Clarke et. al., 1996):
 - 1. Incorporate self-rating tool on flow sheet.
 - 2. Distribute AHCPR guidelines.
 - 3. Use APS survey of satisfaction.
 - 4. Include information in all orientation programs.
 - 5. Establish Pain Information Bulletin space.
 - 6. Form a Pain Practice Group.
 - 7. Select interested nurses to be come "Pain Resource Nurses."

- 8. Work with other clinical services.
- D. Be involved in local, regional and national organizations.
 - 1. State Pain Initiatives.
 - 2. American Pain Society.
 - 3. American Society of Pain Management Nurses.
 - 4. American Pain Foundation.
 - 5. Specialty organizations/ SIGs.
- E. Read publications.

III. Issues in Implementation

- A. Longer and/or more intense programs.
- B. Combination of educational methods.
- C. Opportunity for practice performance feedback.
- D. Attitudes.
- E. Context of clinical setting and practice behavior.
- F. Lack of individual commitment.
- G. System priorities.
- H. System support and reinforcement.
- I. Definition of outcomes (based on "effect desired").
 - 1. Charap, 1978: " surgical residents and nurses indicated that they believed that most patients are over- rather than under-medicated."
 - 2. Camp-Sorrell & O'Sullivan, 1991: lack of pain assessment documentation.
 - 3. Weber, M. & Huber, C. (1999) "rescue doses", laxative prescription and bowel movements were never or only scarcely documented by all physicians.
 - 4. Furstenberg, et al., 1998 "sample were not concerned about addiction, physicians less committed than nurses or pharmacists to providing optimal pain control."
- J. Educating nurses and implementing daily assessment (De Rond, Van Dam, et al., (2000).
- K. Developing an acute pain service (Van Niekerk & Martin, 2001).
- L. United Kingdom: common misconceptions (Davis, McVicar, 2000)
- M. New York: home health nurses (Glajchen & Bookbinder, 2001).
- N. Australia: knowledge of pain in the elderly (Sloman, Ahem, Wright et al., 2001).
- O. Belgium: change in knowledge and beliefs substantial (Bauwens, Distelmans, Storme at al., 2001).